

Benjamin BRADU

CERN, EN-ICE CNRS, Laboratoire des Signaux et Systèmes

Thursday 25 th March 2010

Contents

Introduction

Motivations and state of art

Dynamic simulator for cryogenic systems

- Simulation and control architecture
- Cryogenic Modeling

Simulations of cryogenic systems operating at 4.5 K

- CMS cryoplant
- Central Helium Liquefier
- LHC refrigerator

Simulation of LHC 1.8 K refrigeration units

- Cold compressors
- Cryogenic Distribution Line

Conclusion & Perspectives

Contents

Introduction

Motivations and state of art

Dynamic simulator for cryogenic systems

- Simulation and control architecture
- Cryogenic Modeling

Simulations of cryogenic systems operating at 4.5 K

- CMS cryoplant
- Central Helium Liquefier
- LHC refrigerator

Simulation of LHC 1.8 K refrigeration units

- Cold compressors
- Cryogenic Distribution Line

Conclusion & Perspectives

Motivations

Develop a dynamic simulator for CERN cryogenic systems → Model Large-Scale helium refrigerators

- CERN cryogenic systems: large scale complex systems
 - ✓ Similar to large industrial systems (Petroleum refineries, food industry, etc.)
 - LHC cryogenics : 42 000 I/O & 5 000 control loops
- Non-linearity of helium properties (wide operation ranges)
 - Temperature : 1.9 K to 300 K
 - Pressure : 14 mbar to 20 bar

Unique systems

- Built to be operated at nominal conditions
- Few information about transients and out of predefined operation points

Dynamic simulation is a good tool for :

- Train operators safely and in degraded conditions
- Test new control strategies without disturbing real operation
- ✓ Validate control and supervision systems in simulation : « Virtual Commissioning »

Multidisciplinary Approach



State of art

Dynamic simulators of large-scale cryogenic systems

Team	Simulated Process	Process Modeling	Control Modeling	Optimization
R. Maekawa NIFS Japan	Commercial liquefier + LHD Refrigerator 10kW @ 4.5 K	Physics DAE* (cryo lib)	Partial	High Pressure control with feed-forward action
I.Butkevitch Kapitza insti. Russia	Commercial liquefier for university education	Mathematical Heuristic	No	No
H. Quack UT Dresden Germany	Commercial liquefier	Physics DAE* (cryo lib)	No	No
C. Deschildre CEA/AL/Gipsa France	800 W refrigerator + Commercial liquefier	Physics DAE* (standard lib)	No	Pulse management for future tokamaks

PROCOS : Process & Control Simulator

CERN processes :

- Commercial liquefier
- Medium and very large helium refrigerators @ 4.5 K
- Refrigeration units @ 1.8 K with cold-compressors

Modeling tasks

- Use of equations from physics
 - Differential Algebraic Equation (DAE) with EcosimPro
- Identification techniques
 - Matlab

Constraint : Simulation of control systems

- Use of existing control programs
 - PLC Schneider & Siemens (Programmable Logic Controller)
- Use of existing CERN supervision system
 - PVSS

Contents

Introduction

- Motivations and state of art
- Dynamic simulator for cryogenic systems
 - Simulation and control architecture
 - Cryogenic Modeling

Simulations of cryogenic systems operating at 4.5 K

- CMS cryoplant
- Central Helium Liquefier
- LHC refrigerator
- Simulation of LHC 1.8 K refrigeration units
 - Cold compressors
 - Cryogenic Distribution Line
- Conclusion & Perspectives

CERN control architecture for cryogenics



CERN control architecture for simulation



Refrigerator operation



A component library for cryogenics



Interconnections between components



Variational approach

Some parameters are difficult to find in large cryogenic systems

- Geometrical parameters (length, pipe shape, HX parameters, etc.)
- Thermal parameters (insulation)
- "Secret" parameters (turbines)
- Generally, manufacturers guarantee a nominal operation point :
 - Static calculations performed by manufacturers
- If X depends on an unknown constant K1 : $X = K_1 \cdot f(P,T)$,
- Known design point : $X_d = K_1 \cdot f(P_d, T_d)$.
- Ratio between both: $X = X_d \cdot \frac{f(P,T)}{f(P_d,T_d)}$.
- Non-linearity of 'f(P,T)' are kept and unknown constants are removed

Example in EcosimPro



Example of a model in EcosimPro Linde18kW cold-box for the LHC

Example in EcosimPro (Zoom)



Example in EcosimPro (HX parameters)

🛉 Attributes editor

Library : CRYO CERN

ype: Mhex_5				
ame : HX1_200	A			
Show label				
Name	Туре	Value	Units	Description
		PARAMETERS		
nodes	INTEGER	1		Number of nodes
type_hp	ENUM CRYO_CE	esistive_Storage 💌		Stream type
type_mp	ENUM CRYO_CE	corage_Resistive 💌		Stream type
type_lp	ENUM CRYO_CE	:orage_Resistive 💌		Stream type
.MTD_Flag	BOOLEAN	TRUE 💌		Logarithmic Mean Temperature Difference method
mat	ENUM CRYO_CE	Alu_T6_6061 💌		HX material
		DATA		
neat_leak	REAL	195	W	leak betweek the cold and the hot heat flux (W)
/_hp	REAL	0.935	m^3	Inner volume of the high pressure side (m^3)
/_mp	REAL	0.618	m^3	Inner volume of the medium pressure side (m^3)
/_lp	REAL	1.571	m^3	Inner volume of the low pressure side (m^3)
1_wall_hp	REAL	1374	kg	Metal mass of high pressure side (kg)
1_wall_mp	REAL	908	kg	Metal mass of medium pressure side (kg)
1_wall_lp	REAL	2311	kg	Metal mass of low pressure side (kg)
A_d_hp	REAL	653619	W/K	Global heat transfer coefficient for high pressure side (W/K)
_d_hp	REAL	302	К	Design temperature for high pressure side (K)
_d_hp	REAL	18.52	bar	Design pressure for high pressure side (bar)
n_d_hp	REAL	1.597	kg/s	Design mass flow for the high pressure side (kg/s)
IP_d_hp	REAL	0.08	bar	Design pressure loss for the high pressure side (bar)
_f_hp	REAL	-0.3	-	Constant for friction factor calculation in the hot side (-)
IA_d_mp	REAL	337382	W/K	Global heat transfer coefficient for medium pressure side (W/K)
_d_mp	REAL	299.8	K	Design temperature for medium pressure side (K)
_d_mp	REAL	4.07	bar	Design pressure for medium pressure side (bar)
n_d_mp	REAL	0.8018	kg/s	Design mass flow for the medium pressure side (kg/s)
IP_d_mp	REAL	0.02	bar	Design pressure loss for the medium pressure side (bar)
_f_mp	REAL	-0.3	-	Constant for friction factor calculation in the medium pressure side (-)
IA_d_lp	REAL	316334	W/K	Global heat transfer coefficient for low pressure side (W/K)
	REAL	299.8	К	Design temperature for low pressure side (K)
_d_lp	REAL	1.13	bar	Design pressure for low pressure side (bar)
 n_d_lp	REAL	0.7518	kg/s	Design mass flow for the low pressure side (kg/s)
Pdlo	REAL	0.01	bar	Design pressure loss for the low pressure side (bar)
flp	REAL	-0.3	-	Constant for friction factor calculation in the cold side (-)
oefA_hp_lp	REAL	0.48		Ratio between the heat transfer area between the hp stream and the lp stream andthe total available heat transfer area of the hp stream ()
oefA_hp_mp	REAL	0.52		Ratio between the heat transfer area between the hp stream and the lp stream andthe total available heat transfer area of the hp stream (
oefA_mp_hp	REAL	1		Ratio between the heat transfer area between the lp stream and the hp stream andthe total available heat transfer area of the mp stream
oefA_lp_hp	REAL	1		Ratio between the heat transfer area between the lp stream and the hp stream andthe total available heat transfer area of the lp stream
	REAL	0.1	m	Thickness of HX for conduction (m)
5	REAL	0	m^2	Conduction surface of HX. Put 0 to neglect it (m^2)
la ba	DEAL	-	bar	Initial practice for the high practice (i.d. (har)

___>

Example in EcosimPro (first turbines)



Example in EcosimPro (adsorbers)



Contents

Introduction

Motivations and state of art

Dynamic simulator for cryogenic systems

- Simulation and control architecture
- Cryogenic Modeling

Simulations of cryogenic systems operating at 4.5 K

- CMS cryoplant
- ✓ Central Helium Liquefier
- LHC refrigerator

Simulation of LHC 1.8 K refrigeration units

- Cold compressors
- Cryogenic Distribution Line

Conclusion & Perspectives

Component model validation

Individual validation

- Constant boundary conditions
- Transient checking
- Operation point checking

Validation of a reduced set of components

Ex : Valve + pipe + turbine



Multi-component model validation

- CMS cryoplant
 - Cooldown CMS magnet (225 tons)
 - Air Liquide 1.5 kW @ 4.5 K
 - 3310 Algebraic equations
 - 244 Differential equations
 - Simulation speed during cool-down : x15
- Validation of a complete system
- Simulation architecture validation
- Operator training tool





Virtual Commissioning

CERN central helium liquefier (B165)

- Provide liquid helium for small CERN experiments
- Commercial Linde TCF 50 70 Lhe / hour
- 2060 Algebraic equations
- 170 Differential equations
- Simulation speed during cool-down : x20
- Test and improve PLC code and supervision (collaboration with TE-CRG-CE)
 - Bad calibration of sensors
 - PLC-coding errors

PI tuning

 \checkmark

- Sequence errors (timers, threshold, etc.)
- New turbine starting sequence







6

Large-Scale system simulation: LHC refrigerator

- 4.5 K LHC refrigerator
 - Linde 18 kW @ 4.5 K
 - 4600 Algebraic equations
 - 400 Differential Equations
 - Simulation speed: x3
- Operator training tool
- High Pressure control optimization (*IMC*)
- New control strategies to reduce operation costs (floating pressure)





LHC refrigerator simulations



High Pressure control optimization (IMC)

-IMC : « *Internal Model Control* » -Model Synthesis -Model uncertainties evaluations

$$lm(j\omega) = \frac{P(j\omega) - \tilde{P}(j\omega)}{\tilde{P}(j\omega)}$$

-Synthesis of the controller Q using a robust tunning

$$\min_{Q} \sup_{\omega} (\left| \eta \bar{l} m \right| + |\epsilon w|) \quad \forall \omega \in \Re_+$$

- →Guarantee stability for the worst case
- →Adapt model in real-time (according to compression station state)
- → Take into account saturation of valves (« anti-windup »)

Simulation results:





Floating pressure System

- High Pressure (HP) influences refrigeration power
 - Load adjusted with an electrical heater in the phase separator
- Floating Pressure system: Adaptation of HP and MP to loads applied to the refrigerator
 - Compressor flow rate decreases : Electrical consumption decreases
 - First tests in1994 on12kW @ 4.5 K refrigerators (LEP)
 - Manual or semi-automated management
- Automatic control system to fluctuate HP and MP
 - Objective : Stabilize the electrical heater at a desired value (ex : 1kW)



Floating Pressure approach

Contrôle direct du niveau en pilotant la Haute Pression : Régulation Cascade



Non-Fragile PI tuning

8<u>× 10</u>4 Kp/Ti Floating Pressure controller (FPC) $G(s) = \frac{L}{r_{HP}} = \frac{0,01}{s(60s+1)} \cdot e^{-240s}$ Non-fragile \star 3 Région de stabilité K, 0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 <u>x</u>10⁻⁴ Kp/Ti Level controllers (LC240/LC241) Région de stabilité -2 $M(s) = \frac{L}{\dot{Q}_{eb}} = \frac{-0,022}{s} \cdot e^{-170s}$ -4 Non-fragile -6 -8 -10 -0.45 -0.4 -0.35 -0.3 -0.25 -0.2 -0.15 -0.1 -0.05 0 0.05

Simulation results



Variable-Frequency drive for compressors



Variable-Frequency drive for compressors

$$P_{isoT} = \dot{m} \cdot \bar{R} \cdot T \cdot \ln\left(\frac{P_{out}}{P_{in}}\right)$$

$$P = \frac{P_{isoT}}{\eta_{isoT}}$$



Variable-Frequency drive for compressors



VFD Vs slide valve during floating pressure



Contents

Introduction

Motivations and state of art

Dynamic simulator for cryogenic systems

- Simulation and control architecture
- Cryogenic Modeling

Simulations of cryogenic systems operating at 4.5 K

- CMS cryoplant
- Central Helium Liquefier
- LHC refrigerator

Simulation of LHC 1.8 K refrigeration units

- Cold compressors
- Cryogenic Distribution Line
- Conclusion & Perspectives

Large cryogenic systems at 1.8 K

- Cool-down dysphasic helium from 4.5 K to 1.8 K
 ✓ Pumping on helium baths from 1 bar to 16 mbar
- Large cryogenic systems (pumping flow rate > 60 g/s for LHC)
 - Cold Compressors (helium at 4 K, compression ratio ~ 60)
 - Pumping on long cryogenic lines (3.3 km for the LHC)



Cold-Compressor : characteristic identification

- Cold compressor= hydraulic component
- Strict pressure field to respect: m = f(ratio pressure, speed)
- "Move" theoretical pressure field from measurements to fit real data



LHC cryogenic distribution line

- QRL : Transport cold helium along LHC
- Return Pumping Line (Line B)
 - Low pressure cold helium : 3 K /16 mbar
- Development of a dynamic model
 - Low pressure and temperature helium flow
 - Convection heat transfers

Interconnections every 107 m



Euler equations:
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \begin{bmatrix} \rho \\ \vec{M} \\ E \end{bmatrix} + \vec{\nabla} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \rho \cdot \vec{V} \\ \rho \cdot \vec{V}^T \otimes \vec{V} + P \cdot I \\ \rho \cdot \vec{V} \cdot \left(u + \frac{P}{\rho} \right) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \dot{q} \end{bmatrix}$$

• Simplification for QRL (PDE 1D) : $\frac{\partial X(x,t)}{\partial t} + F(X,t) \cdot \frac{\partial X(t)}{\partial x} = \dot{Q}(x,t)$

with: $X = [\rho \ M \ E]^T$

Jacobian calculation

Perfect GAZ

Equation of state: $u = Cv \cdot T$

Pressure:

$$P = \rho \cdot R \cdot T = \rho \cdot u \cdot \frac{1}{Cv} = \rho \cdot u \cdot \hat{\gamma},$$

Sound speed:

$$c = \sqrt{\gamma RT} = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma P}{\rho}} = \sqrt{\gamma \hat{\gamma} (\frac{E}{\rho} - \frac{V^2}{2})}$$

Jacobian:

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0\\ \frac{(\gamma - 3)V^2}{2} & (3 \quad \gamma)V & \hat{\gamma}\\ \hat{\gamma}V^3 - \frac{\gamma V^3}{2} - \frac{c^2 V}{\hat{\gamma}} & \frac{\gamma V^2}{2} + \frac{c^2}{\hat{\gamma}} - \frac{3\hat{\gamma}V^2}{2} & \gamma V \end{bmatrix}$$

Eigen values:

$$\begin{cases} \lambda 1 = V + c \\ \lambda 2 = V \\ \lambda 3 - V - c. \end{cases}$$

Gaseous low pressure helium



Discretization

- Finite element method with an upwind scheme
 - Discretization according to the propagation direction
 - Mass and energy along the flow direction (transport)
 - Momentum on the inverse direction of the flow (pumping)
- Dirichlet boundary conditions:
 - ✓ Input density $\rho(0,t)$ ✓ Input energy E(0,t) ✓ Output momentum M(L,t) ✓ Input energy E(0,t)

$$\dot{X}_i(t) + \frac{A_i(X_i)}{\Delta x} X_i(t) + \frac{B_i(X_i)}{\Delta x} X_{i-1}(t) + \frac{C_i(X_i)}{\Delta x} X_{i+1}(t) = Q_i(t)$$

Implicit temporal discretization (backward Euler) :

$$\frac{\partial V_i}{\partial t} = \frac{V_i(t) - V_i(t-1)}{\Delta t}$$

Interconnections and heat transfers

- Interconnections every 107 m
 - Source term is augmented (mass, momentum and energy)



Heat transfers: $\dot{q} = \dot{q}_{const} + \dot{q}_{var}(t, \rho, M, E)$

✓ Constant term (conduction, radiation) : 1,92 W/m³ on line B

Variable term(convection):
$$\dot{q}_{convi} = hc_i \cdot S_{wi} \cdot (T_{wi} - T_i) = M_{wi} \cdot Cp_{wi} \cdot \frac{dT_{wi}}{dt}$$

Simulation of the final pumping

Pumping between 100 mbar and16 mbar



Simulation after a « quench »

- *« Quench »* : Resistive transition between the superconductor state and the resistive state:
 - Release a large amount of energy (heat)

Simulation with $\Delta x=107m$

- Simulation of the "heat wave" induced by a *quench* in the return pumping line
 - Comparison with a quench in the LHC sector 5-6 during hardware commissioning (May 2008)



Simulation with $\Delta x=10.7m$

43

Contents

Introduction

Motivations and state of art

Dynamic simulator for cryogenic systems

- Simulation and control architecture
- Cryogenic Modeling

Simulations of cryogenic systems operating at 4.5 K

- CMS cryoplant
- Central Helium Liquefier
- LHC refrigerator

Simulation of LHC 1.8 K refrigeration units

- Cold compressors
- Cryogenic Distribution Line

Conclusion & Perspectives

Main Contributions

A dynamic simulator for CERN cryogenic plants

- « Cryo Simulation Lab » available at CERN for TE-CRG (building 36)
- ✓ « Virtual commissioning » in collaboration with TE-CRG-CE (B163 & B165)



Main Contributions

Control Improvements

- Optimization of the High Pressure control on LHC refrigerators (IMC)
- Development of a floating pressure control to reduce operational costs on LHC cryoplants



QRL model

Dynamic model of low pressure helium flow in long pumping lines



Perspectives

Export simulator to other large cryogenic plants

- ✓ Helium refrigerator @ 2 K for the XFEL project at DESY (*in discussion*)
- ✓ Dynamic behavior during pulsed heat loads for tokamaks (ITER ?)

IMC and floating pressure control test on real LHC refrigerators

- Possible windows in may/june 2010 ?
- ✓ Speed variator study (*in discussion*)

Extension of the « cryo » library to other industrial processes

- ✓ Water cooling (*already done for STP18*)
- ✓ Electronic cooling for detectors ($C0_2$, C_6F_{14} ...)
- LHC ventilation systems

Thank you for your attention



Cryo Simulation Lab

